

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/915,773	07/26/2001	Courtney Flem Morgan	SU/V-31557P1	6895
23506	7590 09/19/2005		EXAM	INER
GARDNER GROFF, P.C.			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
2018 POWE SUITE 800	RS FERRY ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA,	GA 30339		3738	

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

entre de la companya		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/915,773	MORGAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication ад Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [8] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	July 2005. is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-24 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-19 is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9-14,20-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the sheet of the sheet are the sheet of the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 7-15-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. With regard to Uhler et al. in view of Brady et al., Applicant contends Brady et al. does not cure the deficiencies of Uhler et al. because Brady et al. teaches folding perpendicular to the axis between the flared portions (figure 4). Examiner disagrees because Brady et al. teach at column 8 lines 24-34 that the lens may be folded about any axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4-7,9,11-14,20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uhler et al. US PN 4,468,820 in view of Brady et al. US PN 5,476,513.

Uhler et al. discloses in figures 4-6 an intraocular lens comprising a lens body having a thickness greater than a peripheral edge thickness wherein the peripheral edge includes two thicker flared portions for attaching haptics. The lens body is disclosed to include PMMA. Uhler lacks the express written disclosure of the lens being foldable. Brady et al. teaches in abstract an intraocular lens comprising a foldable lens body about all axes in order to assist implantation through smaller incisions.

Therefore it would have bene obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the lens disclose by Uhler et al. by making the lens foldable as taught by Brady et al. in order to assist implantation through smaller incisions.

3. Claims 3,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uhler et al. US PN 4,468,820 in view of Brady et al. US PN 5,476,513 as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and in further view of Bissonette et al. US PN 4,725,276.

Uhler et al. meets the structural limitations of claims 3 and 10 as described above but lacks the express written disclosure of thermally welding the haptics to bores. Bissonette et al. discloses an intraocular lens having haptics thermally welded to bores in order to securely attach the haptics to the lens body.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the intraocular lens disclosed by Uhler et al by thermally welding the haptics to the bores in order to securely attach the haptics to the lens body as taught by Bissonette et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,773

Art Unit: 3738

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WHM

9-14-05

CORRINE MCDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CINTER 3700